

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE 5029-42DIV 10/647,019 08/22/2003

> 7590 08/02/2004

Peter Marx

**EXAMINER** 

Thomas C. Pontani, Esq. KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH

Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210 New York, NY 10176

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

3753

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-		
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,019	MARX ET AL.	100
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence a	nddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered time om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) □ Th 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matters, p		ne merits is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 7 - 13 and 15 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 10,11,13 and 15 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 7 - 9 and 12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and.</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a complete	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 (	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burents.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Applic  iority documents have been rece  eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No. <u>09/885,19</u> ived in this Nationa	
Attachment(s)	[		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) L Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	,	TO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/647,019

Art Unit: 3753

•

This office action is responsive to amendment filed 05/24/2004.

## Claims 7 – 13 and 15 are pending.

The applicant is reminded to update the status of parent application(s) referred to on page 1 of the specification.

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 7 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMath (US 3,734,115).

McMath discloses a valve comprising:

A housing having a valve seat (36);

A closing part (38) movable against said valve seat;

A spring (58) arranged in said housing

Application/Control Number: 10/647,019

Art Unit: 3753

for pre-stressing said closing part relative to said valve seat, said spring having smaller dimensions than said closing part;

and

latching means (40) arranged on said housing for gripping said closing part from behind, said latching means having a bearing region (near (54,56)), wherein said spring is arranged for pre-stressing said closing part against said bearing region.

. Regarding claim 8, said latching means comprise hook-shaped elements (54, 56).

Regarding Claim 9, a side of said latching means facing said closing part comprises guide edges (46, 48) for said closing part.

Regarding claim 12, it is noted that the valve further comprises a plurality of latching means distributed around a circumference of said closing part.

It is noted that the limitation "for a fuel delivery unit" in claim 7 has not been given any patentable weight in this office action as it is reflective of intended use only.

It is noted that the latching means (40) is securely disposed in the valve housing and includes the valve seat and the entire arrangement is disclosed as being used as a single unit i.e. one-piece. It is noted that the courts have held that the use of a one-piece construction instead of the structure of the prior art that comprised several parts rigidly secured together as a single unit would be merely a matter of obvious engineering choice. See *In re Larson*, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965). In this instance making a one-piece construction would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/647,019

Art Unit: 3753

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since it would allow for replacement of the entire valve as one unit.

- 4. Claims 10, 11, 13 and 15 are allowed.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 05/24/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is essentially arguing that McMath fails to disclose a one-piece construction as claimed. In response Examiner has cited the relevant court opinion that clearly sets forth such a construction to involve an obvious engineering choice over the prior art construction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3753

(703) 305 - 5295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Scherbel, can be reached on (703) 308 - 1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 – 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 0861.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Jamesh Krishramusthy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753